



United States
Department
of Labor



Bureau of Labor Statistics

Chicago, Ill. 60604

General Information: (312) 353-1880

Media Contact: Paul LaPorte

(312) 353-1138

Internet: www.bls.gov/ro5

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

Thursday, March 8, 2007

AVERAGE WEEKLY WAGES IN SOUTH DAKOTA: SECOND QUARTER 2006

The average weekly wage in Minnehaha County was \$644 in the second quarter of 2006, 3.5 percent higher than it was one year earlier, according to the U.S. Department of Labor's Bureau of Labor Statistics. Regional Commissioner Jay A. Mousa noted that Minnehaha's average weekly wage was \$140 below the national level of \$784 and that its wage growth was slower than the nationwide increase of 4.4 percent. Minnehaha was the only large county in South Dakota—that is, it had 75,000 or more jobs as measured by 2005 annual average employment.

Nationally, there were 325 large counties of which 214 had average weekly wages below the national average. Minnehaha County's wage placed it 275th, in the bottom quartile of the national ranking. Minnehaha also had slower-than-average wage growth, though its ranking was higher at 203rd.

Large County Average Weekly Wages

Average weekly wages were higher than the national average in 110 of the 325 largest counties in the U.S. New York County, N.Y., held the top position with an average weekly wage of \$1,453. Santa Clara, Calif., was second highest with an average weekly wage of \$1,386, followed by Arlington, Va. (\$1,335); Washington, D.C. (\$1,300); and Somerset, N.J. (\$1,242).

At the other end of the spectrum, Cameron County, Texas, reported the lowest average weekly wage, \$484, in the U.S., followed by the counties of Hidalgo, Texas (\$494); Horry, S.C. (\$527); and Webb, Texas, and Yakima, Wash. (\$530 each).

Over the year, the national average weekly wage rose by 4.4 percent. Among the largest counties, Orleans, La., led the nation in wage growth with an increase of 28.0 percent from the second quarter of 2005 to the second quarter of 2006. Jefferson, La., was second with 16.3-percent growth, followed by the counties of Harrison, Miss. (15.2 percent); Rock Island, Ill. (10.5 percent); and Somerset, N.J. (10.0 percent). The high average weekly wage growth rates for Orleans, Jefferson, and Harrison Counties were related to the disproportionate job losses in lower-paid industries due to the impact of Hurricane Katrina. That is, the loss of low paid jobs due to the storm boosted average wages in those areas.

Ten counties experienced over-the-year declines in average weekly wages. San Mateo, Calif., and McLean, Ill., had the largest declines, -5.0 percent each, followed by

the counties of Clayton, Ga. (-3.8 percent); Webb, Texas (-2.0 percent); and Rockingham, N.H. (-1.2 percent).

State Average Weekly Wages

The average weekly wage in South Dakota was \$563 in the second quarter of 2006, \$221 below that for the nation. The five highest wage levels in the U.S. were in the District of Columbia (\$1,300), Connecticut (\$971), Massachusetts (\$963), New York (\$962), and New Jersey (\$948). Average weekly wages in this group were more than 20 percent above that for the nation.

Average weekly wages in South Dakota rose 4.3 percent over the year, placing the State in the middle of the national ranking in wage growth at 24th. Wyoming experienced a wage gain of 10.3 percent from the second quarter of 2005 to the second quarter of 2006, higher than any other state. Louisiana was second with 10.2-percent growth. Rounding out the top five were Idaho (7.4 percent), Oklahoma (7.4 percent), and Delaware (6.8 percent). The smallest wage gains were in Nevada (1.4 percent) and Michigan (1.8 percent). No state experienced an over-the-year decline in wages.

Average weekly wage data by county are compiled under the Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages (QCEW) program, also known as the ES-202 program. The data are derived from reports submitted by employers subject to state and federal unemployment insurance (UI) laws. The 8.8 million employer reports cover 135.5 million full- and part-time workers. The average weekly values are calculated by dividing quarterly total wages by the average of the three monthly employment levels of those covered by UI programs. The result is then divided by 13, the number of weeks in a quarter. It is to be noted, therefore, that over-the-year wage changes for geographic areas may reflect shifts in the composition of employment by industry, occupation, and such other factors as hours of work. Thus, wages may vary among counties, metropolitan areas, or states for reasons other than changes in the average wage level. Data for all states, Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs), counties, and the nation are available on the BLS Web site at <http://www.bls.gov/cew/>; however, data in QCEW press releases have been revised (see Technical Note below) and may not match the data contained on the Bureau's Web site.

Additional statistics and other information

An annual bulletin, *Employment and Wages*, features comprehensive information by detailed industry on establishments, employment, and wages for the nation and all states. The 2005 edition of this bulletin contains selected data produced by Business Employment Dynamics (BED) on job gains and losses, as well as selected data from the fourth quarter 2005 version of this news release. This edition will also be the first to include the data on a CD for enhanced access and usability. As a result of this change, the printed booklet will contain only selected graphic representation of QCEW data; the data tables themselves will be published exclusively in electronic formats as PDF and fixed-width text files. *Employment and Wages Annual Averages, 2005* will be available for sale in early 2007 from the United States Government Printing Office, Superintendent of Documents, P.O. Box 371954, Pittsburgh, PA 15250, telephone 866-

512-1800, outside Washington, D.C. Within Washington, D.C., the telephone number is 202-512-1800. The fax number is 202-512-2104. Also, the 2005 bulletin will be available in a portable document format (PDF) on the BLS Web site at <http://www.bls.gov/cew/cewbultn05.htm>.

QCEW-based news releases issued by other regional offices have been placed at one convenient Web site location, <http://www.bls.gov/cew/cewregional.htm>.

Information in this release will be made available to sensory impaired individuals upon request. Voice phone: 202-691-5200; TDD message referral phone number: 1-800-877-8339.

For personal assistance or further information on the Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages Program, as well as other Bureau programs, contact the Midwest Information Office in Chicago at (312) 353-1880 from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. CT.

Hurricane Katrina

The measures of employment and wages reported in this news release reflect the impact of Hurricane Katrina and ongoing labor market trends. The effects of Hurricane Katrina, which hit the Gulf Coast on August 29, 2005, were first reflected in the September QCEW employment counts and the wage totals for the third quarter of 2005. The impact of this catastrophic storm in parts of Louisiana and Mississippi continues to be reflected in monthly employment and quarterly wage totals in the second quarter of 2006. For more information, see the QCEW section of the Katrina coverage on the BLS Web site (<http://www.bls.gov/katrina/qcewquestions.htm>).

TECHNICAL NOTE

QCEW data are the sums of individual establishment records reflecting the number of establishments that exist in a county or industry at a point in time. For this reason, county and industry data are not designed to be used as a time series.

The preliminary QCEW data presented in this release may differ from data released by the individual states as well as from the data presented on the BLS Web site. The potential differences result from several causes. Differences between BLS and State published data may be due to the continuing receipt, review and editing of UI data over time. On the other hand, differences between data in this release and the data found on the BLS Web site are the result of adjustments made to improve over-the-year comparisons. Specifically, these adjustments account for administrative (noneconomic) changes such as a correction to a previously reported location or industry classification. Adjusting for these administrative changes allows users to more accurately assess changes of an economic nature (such as a firm moving from one county to another or changing its primary economic activity) over a 12-month period. Currently, adjusted data are available only from BLS press releases.

Table 1. Covered (1) employment and wages in the United States and the largest county in South Dakota, second quarter 2006

Are	Employment	Average Weekly Wage (3)			
	Jun 200 (thousands)	Average weekly wage	National ranking by level	Percent change second quarter 2005-06 (5)	National ranking by percent change
United States (6)	135,481.1	\$78	--	4.	--
South Dakota	396.	56	5	4.	2
Minnehaha, S.D.	114.	64	27	3.	20

(1) Includes workers covered by Unemployment Insurance (UI) and Unemployment Compensation for Federal Employees (UCFE) programs.

(2) Data are preliminary.

(3) Average weekly wages were calculated using unrounded data.

(4) Ranking does not include the county of San Juan, Puerto Rico.

(5) Percent changes were computed from quarterly employment and pay data adjusted for noneconomic county reclassifications.

(6) Totals for the United States do not include data for Puerto Rico or the Virgin Islands.

Table 2. Covered (1) employment and wages by state, second quarter 2006 (2)

State	Employment	Average weekly wage (3)			
	June 2006 (thousands)	Average weekly wage	National ranking by level	Percent change, second quarter 2005-06	National ranking by percent change
United States (4)	135,481.1	\$784	-	4.4	-
Alabama	1,944.8	672	34	4.3	24
Alaska	327.2	788	14	4.2	26
Arizona	2,581.3	753	20	4.1	28
Arkansas	1,185.3	612	46	3.2	44
California	15,733.0	888	6	4.5	22
Colorado	2,277.7	794	12	3.3	40
Connecticut	1,700.6	971	2	2.8	48
Delaware	430.4	851	8	6.8	5
District of Columbia	677.9	1,300	1	5.3	10
Florida	7,889.6	722	23	4.8	18
Georgia	4,054.1	743	22	3.1	45
Hawaii	621.8	704	26	4.0	31
Idaho	660.0	612	46	7.4	3
Illinois	5,912.4	837	9	4.1	28
Indiana	2,917.5	684	32	3.0	47
Iowa	1,502.9	639	41	4.1	28
Kansas	1,339.5	667	36	5.0	16
Kentucky	1,797.2	672	34	3.4	39
Louisiana	1,831.7	680	33	10.2	2
Maine	616.0	632	44	3.8	36
Maryland	2,567.8	855	7	4.7	19
Massachusetts	3,256.7	963	3	5.1	13
Michigan	4,320.8	783	15	1.8	50
Minnesota	2,731.9	789	13	4.0	31
Mississippi	1,127.4	587	49	5.6	8
Missouri	2,743.6	703	27	3.7	38
Montana	442.8	575	50	4.0	31
Nebraska	915.6	632	44	5.7	7
Nevada	1,284.6	748	21	1.4	51
New Hampshire	639.1	774	17	2.5	49
New Jersey	4,053.9	948	5	5.1	13
New Mexico	824.4	653	39	4.6	21
New York	8,566.2	962	4	5.4	9
North Carolina	3,965.0	690	29	3.8	36
North Dakota	342.4	591	48	5.3	10
Ohio	5,396.5	716	24	3.3	40
Oklahoma	1,512.5	639	41	7.4	3
Oregon	1,732.5	710	25	3.3	40
Pennsylvania	5,675.5	766	18	3.9	34
Rhode Island	490.7	755	19	4.7	19
South Carolina	1,858.5	646	40	4.2	26
South Dakota	396.1	563	51	4.3	24
Tennessee	2,749.2	703	27	4.9	17
Texas	9,965.6	781	16	5.8	6
Utah	1,182.9	655	38	5.3	10
Vermont	307.7	665	37	3.1	45
Virginia	3,697.5	822	10	4.4	23
Washington	2,911.9	799	11	5.1	13
West Virginia	714.3	636	43	3.9	34
Wisconsin	2,828.3	685	30	3.3	40
Wyoming	278.6	685	30	10.3	1
Puerto Rico	1,039.6	435	(5)	4.1	(5)
Virgin Islands	45.3	679	(5)	5.6	(5)

(1) Includes workers covered by Unemployment Insurance (UI) and Unemployment Compensation for Federal Employees (UCFE) programs.

(2) Data are preliminary.

(3) Average weekly wages were calculated using unrounded data.

(4) Totals for the United States do not include data for Puerto Rico or the Virgin Islands.

(5) Data not included in the national ranking.